

County of Los Angeles CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE

Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration 500 West Temple Street, Room 713, Los Angeles, California 90012 (213) 974-1101 http://ceo.lacounty.gov

June 30, 2008

Board of Supervisors GLORIA MOLINA First District

YVONNE B. BURKE Second District

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY Third District

DON KNABE

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH

Fifth District

To:

Supervisor Yvonne B. Burke, Chair

Supervisor Gloria Molina Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky Supervisor Don Knabe

Supervisor Michael D. Antonovich

From:

William T Fujioka

Chief Executive Officer

PROJECTED 2007-08 SAVINGS ASSOCIATED WITH BUDGETED VACANCIES IN THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES (ITEM NO. 71-A SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA OF JULY 1, 2008)

Item 71-A of the Supplemental Agenda for your Board's July 1, 2008 meeting includes the Chief Executive Officer's (CEO) report related to budgeted vacant positions within the Department of Health Services (DHS), which was continued from your Board's June 16, 2008 Budget Deliberations meeting. On June 17, 2008, Supervisor Knabe requested a report back on whether the \$34.3 million in projected 2007-08 savings associated with budgeted vacancies in DHS, reflected in the CEO's report, could be used to address DHS' structural deficit. This memorandum responds to that request.

Attachment I provides the surplus salaries associated with the funded vacancies in DHS, as reflected in the CEO's earlier report. As reported at your June 17, 2008 meeting, the projected savings for 2007-08 is \$34.3 million. While these positions are funded and are projected to produce savings by year-end, these savings have already been accounted for within the DHS operating budget, as discussed in more detail below.

Background

Historically, DHS has ended each fiscal year with surplus funds, for various reasons, including higher than budgeted revenues, such as revenues from the 1115 Waiver and other one-time funding amounts from the State and federal governments, as well as savings from budgeted vacant positions and one-time savings in services and supplies and other expenditure categories. These amounts have varied over the years, but generally represented a lower than anticipated need for one-time reserves from the DHS designation included in the Department's operating budget. In some cases, the year-end difference between expenditures and revenues still left a gap that could not be completely covered by the on-going County contribution Each Supervisor June 30, 2008 Page 2

amounts. This gap represented the on-going operating deficit of the Department, which required the use of DHS designation funds. Attachment II shows the expenditures, revenues, County contribution and use of designation funds for the past five years.

Because DHS was showing year-end surpluses each year, it became difficult to present an accurate picture of its on-going structural deficit. Therefore, in 2002-03, the CEO added a revenue category to the DHS budget which represented its "budgeted projected savings" and reflected \$43.4 million of revenue in that category, to account for a portion of the projected year-end surplus. Because the year-end surpluses continued at a larger amount, this amount was increased to \$68.4 million in 2003-04 and increased again to \$100.0 million in 2004-05. Each of these actions reduced the amount of one-time reserves used to balance the DHS operating budgets and, therefore, the resulting year-end surpluses.

When, in 2004-05, the year-end surplus was only \$6.6 million, the \$100.0 million was reduced to \$90.0 million for 2005-06, the level it is at currently.

Deficit Reductions Resulting from Cost Savings Options

The savings from the budgeted vacant items, like that shown for 2007-08 in Attachment I, is one component contributing to the DHS "budgeted projected savings" of \$90.0 million. Consequently, if funding for the budgeted vacant positions is removed from the budget, the amount of the "budgeted projected savings" should also be reduced. Therefore, no net savings would result from these actions.

To this point, the savings amounts from the DHS Financial Stabilization proposals have not resulted in reductions in the amount of "budgeted projected savings," since we continue to expect DHS to realize budgetary savings from areas such as vacant positions. However, as DHS develops more cost savings proposals in order to achieve greater efficiencies, this office will work with DHS to evaluate the need for adjustments to the "budgeted projected savings," to ensure that the operating budgets are appropriately balanced.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me or your staff may contact Mason Matthews at (213) 974-2395.

WTF:SRH:SAS MLM:MM:yb

Attachments (2)

c: Executive Officer, Board of Supervisors
County Counsel
Auditor-Controller
Interim Director, Department of Health Services

DHS Surplus Memo mbs

6/27/2008 2:28 PM

LOS ANGELES COUNTY - DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES 4 FISCAL YEARS 2003-04 THROUGH 2007-08 BUDGET VS. ACTUALS **NET SALARIES** * (\$ in Millions)

		ш	FY 2003-04	04				FY	FY 2004-05					FY 2005-06	90-					FY 2006-07					FY 20	FY 2007-08		
	Bu	Budgeted	Actual	_		1	Budgeted		Actual			Bu	Budgeted	Actual		2		Budgeted Net		Actual			Bud	Budgeted Net	Fet	February		
DEPARTMENT	Sal	Salaries (1)	Salaries (2)		Variance	1	Salaries (1)		Salaries (2)		Variance	Sal	Salaries (1)	Salaries (2)		Variance	S	Salaries (1)		Salaries (4)	> a	Variance	Salaries	ries m	F-Y	F-Y-E (1-2)	/s	Variance
ENTERPRISE FUNDS																												
LAC+USC	49	356.7	\$ 32	327.0 \$	29.6	us.	339.9	69	334.8	*	5.1	69	354.0	49	348.7 \$		69	376.4	4.	378.5	49	(2.1)	49	432,5	49	422.1	₩	10.4
LAC+USC HCN CHC/HC'S		35.2	ñ	35.8	(0.6)		37.2	2	35.0		2.2		39.6		36.4	3.2		39.9	- 1	39.9	- 1	(0.1)		43.3	- 1	43.1	- 1	0.2
LAC+USC NETWORK:	69	391.9	\$ 36.	362.9 \$	29.0	69	377.1	€ >	369.8	8	7.3	49	393.7	49	385.1 \$	8.6	69	416.3	69 69	418.5	69	(2.2)	69	475.8	69	465.2	69	10.6
HAICLA	49	181.4	\$ 16	163.6 \$	17.9	69	175.1	59	172.8	8	2.3	69	191,6	49	\$ 97.81		\$	204.9	8 6	209.8	49	(4.9)	(s)	253.7	49	244.7	69	9.0
CS CHC/HC'S	•								9.2		0.5		10.8		9.5	1.3	m	11.0	0.	10.3		0.7		13.5		11,3		2.2
COASTAL NETWORK:	69	191.7	\$ 17.	173.1 \$	18.7	₩	184.9	⇔	182.0	\$	2.9	49	202.4	69	197.1 \$	5.3	69	215.9	6.	220.1	49	(4.2)	49	267.2	69	256.0	49	11.2
¥	65	150.1	\$ 14	146.0 \$	4.1	49	146.8	69	143.0	s	3.8	69	138.4	69	137.3 \$	1.1	↔	159.6	9.	126.3	63	33.3	49	52.2	69	62,3	69	(10.1)
SW CHC/HC'S									13.7		(1.9)		17.8		16.0	1.8	m1	16.6	9.	15.7		6.0		20.6		19.4		1.2
SOUTHWEST NETWORK:	sp.	161.5	\$ 16	\$ 1.091		49	158.5	69 10	156.6	69	1.9	₩.	156.1	\$ 15	153.2 \$	2.9	\$	176.2	5	142.0	69	34.2	69	72.7	69	81.7	(s)	(0.6)
RLANRC	49	75.4	9	65.5 \$	6'6	\$	77.4	8	66,4	\$	11.0	49	81,4	69	67.3 \$	14.1	↔	72.1	₩ 5	71.6	49	9.0	↔	94.9	69	84.6	s)	10.2
OV/UCLA	49	101.9	<i>⊕</i>	97.5 \$		*		\$	104.1	8	(4.2)	49	110.3	69	112.7 \$	_	÷ (+	119,2	.2 \$	125.6	69	(6.4)	49	140.9	49	141.0	69	(0.1)
SFV CHC/HC'S		14.5	-	13.1	1.4		14.3	e	13,4	*	6.0		15.2		13.7	1.5	10	15.6	9.	14,8		0.8		18.5		16.6		9.
HDHS		17.2	-	17.0	0.2	61	17.8	8	17.7	7	0.1		18.7		16.8	1.9	G	21.7	.7	18.8	_	2.9		26.1		21.7		4.4
AVHCs		4.9		4.4	0.5	10	5.7	7	4.1	_	1.6		5.7		6.7	(1.0)	į.	-		7.2	- 1	(0.5)		-	- 1	9.7	- 1	(0.6)
VALLEY CARE NETWORK:	69	138.5	\$ 13	131.9 \$	6.5	69	137.8	69 60	139.3	9	(1.6)	49	149.9	s)	149.9 \$	(0.0)	\$	163.1	φ -	166.4	69	(3.3)	69	192.6	69	186.9	69	5.7
TOTAL ENTERPRISE FUNDS	49	959.0	\$	894.0 \$	65.0	ا ا	935.7	2 \$	914.2	8	21.5	69	983.4	49	952.6 \$	30.8	8	1,043.6	\$ 9.	1,018.5	69	25.1	69	1,103.2		\$ 1,074.5	69	28.7
GENERAL FUNDS																												
HSA	49	64.5	9	60.2 \$	6.4	9	2.69	4 2	62.6	\$	7.1	69	76.8	69	69.4 \$	7.4	4		72.8 \$	71.8	69	1.0	49	80.0	49	80.0	49	(0.0)
OMC	w	5.4	49	5.4	0.0	s c	10.2	2 \$	8.3	89	1.9	69	9.5	69	8.9	9.0	69		11.2 \$	10.1	69	7:	69	16.1	69	11.8	4	4.3
JCHS	69	13.0	8	11.5 \$	1.5	8	14.1	49	12.0	\$	2.0	69	15.4	69	13.5 \$	1.9	8		16.0 \$	14.8	8	1.2	69	18.5	69	17.1	49	1.4
GENERAL FUNDS TOTAL:	49	82.9	\$	77.0 \$	5.8	ا _ت ه	93.9	6	82.9	8	11.0	49	101.7	49	91.9 \$	9.8	es	100.0	\$ 0.0	96.7	S	3.3	69	114.5	49	108.9	↔	5.6
	•						4 930	6	4	•	5	٠	10861	•	1044 5	40.6		4 11436	9	11152	41	28.4	46	\$ 1.217.7		\$ 1.183.4	49	34.3
GRAND TOTAL	0	\$ 1,041.9	9	8 L.L./8	6.07		1,029.7	- 1	100	11	24.40	7	1,000.1	9		1					п							Managed Street

Notes:

- * Net Salaries includes: salaries, salary savings, shift differential, overtime, bonus, MAPP differential, stand-by pay, sick buy back, and call back pay.
- Department of Public Health was separated from the Department of Health Services beginning in FY 2006-07. For comparison purposes, this schedule excludes the
 Department of Public Health budget units (PH, OAPP, A&D, CMS, and AVRC) from FYs 2003-04 through 2005-06.
 Budgeted net salaries based on the Adjusted Allowance for each fiscal year. FY 2007-08 budgeted net salaries reduced by physician pay plan funding, for which there will be minimal
 expenses during FY 2007-08. Only newly hired physicians will receive be paid under the plan, until existing doctors are placed on the plan once the medical school agreements are
 expenses during FY 2007-08. Only newly hired physicians will receive be paid under the plan, until existing doctors are placed on the plan once the medical school agreements are
 (2) Reflects final actual salaries (salaries, shift differential, overtime, bonus, MAPP differential, stand-by pay, sick buy back, and call back pay) for each respective fiscal year.
- (3) Full-year estimate is based on the February 2008 forecast for net salaries (salaries, shift differential, overtime, bonus, MAPP differential, stand-by pay, sick buy back, and call back pay).

LOS ANGELES COUNTY - DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES FINAL FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS **ACTUALS** FISCAL YEARS 2002-03 THROUGH 2006-07 (\$ in Millions)

DEPARTMENT SUMMARY(1)											
227	FY	2002-03		FY	2003-04	FY	2004-05	F	2005-06	FY	2006-07
Expenses											
Sajaries & Employee Benefits	\$	1,610.1		\$	1,631.8	\$	1,712.3	\$	1,823.2	\$	1,637.4
Services & Supplies		1,349.3			1,414.5		1,546.7		1,698.2		1,423.5
Other Charges		104.8			102.8		105.2		100.9		67.4
Fixed Assets/Equipment		7.1			9.7		34.5		27.5		34.6
Operating Transfers Out		0.3			0.4		0.3		0.3		0.1
Total Expenditures	\$	3,071.6		\$	3,159.2	\$	3,399.0	\$	3,650.1	\$	3,163.0
Less: Intrafund Transfer		77.6			82.3		76.2		86.5		35.0
Net Expenditures	\$	2,994.0		\$	3,076.9	\$	3,322.8	\$	3,563.6	\$	3,128.0
Revenues	\$	2,408.9		\$	2,509.0	\$	2,389.0	\$	2,648.6	\$	2,145.5
Expenses Less Revenues	\$	585.1		\$	567.9	\$	933.8	\$	915.0	\$	982.5
County Contribution											
Sales Tax	\$	128.8		\$	128.9	\$	128.9	\$	129.3	\$	104.8
VLF		325.8			363.3		367.8		362.3		306.7
Tobacco Settlement		75.5			75.0		85.1		85.1		83.1
Property Tax		178.0			184.5		185.1		193.3		364.3
Total County Contribution	\$	708.1	1	\$	751.7	\$	766.9	\$	770.0	\$	858.9
Designation Added/(Used) at Year-End	\$	123.0	(2)	\$	183.8	\$	(166.9)	\$	(145.0)	\$	(123.6)
Designation Included in the Budget	\$	245.9		\$	31.8	\$	173.5	\$	395.5	\$	141.3
Surplus at Year-End	\$	368.9	(2)	\$	215.6	\$	6.6	\$	250.5	\$	17.7

Notes:
(1) Includes the Department of Public Health for FY's 2001-02 through 2005-06.
(2) These amounts were reduced by \$105.0 million for the transfer of funding to the LAC+USC Replacement Facility ACO fund.